Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/617,996	TURNBULL ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Victor K. Hwang	3764
All Participants:	Status of Application	n:
(1) <u>Victor K. Hwang</u> .	(3)	
(2) Mark D. Schneider.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 19 December 2006	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: .	ant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 1 and 10		
Prior art documents discussed: Porter		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa 	record of the substance of	of the interview, since the interview
		•
	•	•
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicar	nt/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Authorization for Supplemental Examiner's Amendment to define the claimed invention around an interpretation of the prior art of Porter. Initially contacted Mr. Schneider Nov. 22, 2006 with a proposed Examiner's amendment. Approval was given December 19, 2006 for the same proposed Examiner's amendment.